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A Brief History of Silica Aerogels

By Arlon Hunt and Michael Ayers

Many people assume that aerogels are recent products of modern technology. In reality, the first aerogels were prepared in 1931. At that time, Steven S. Kistler of the College of the Pacific in Stockton, California set out to prove that a "gel" contained a continuous solid network of the same size and shape as the wet gel. The obvious way to prove this hypothesis was to remove the liquid from the wet gel without damaging the solid component. As is often the case, the obvious route included many obstacles. If a wet gel were simply allowed to dry on its own, the gel would shrink, often to a fraction of its original size. This shrinkage was often accompanied by severe cracking of the gel. Kistler surmised, correctly, that the solid component of the gel was microporous, and that the liquid-vapor interface of the evaporating liquid exerted strong surface tension forces that collapsed the pore structure. Kistler then discovered the key aspect of aerogel production:

"Obviously, if one wishes to produce an aerogel [Kistler is credited with coining the term "aerogel"], he must replace the liquid with air by some means in which the surface of the liquid is never permitted to recede within the gel. If a liquid is held under pressure always greater than the vapor pressure, and the temperature is raised, it will be transformed at the critical temperature into a gas without two phases having been present at any time." (S. S. Kistler, J. Phys. Chem. 34, 52, 1932).

The first gels studied by Kistler were silica gels prepared by the acidic condensation of aqueous sodium silicate. However, attempts to prepare aerogels by converting the water in these gels to a supercritical fluid failed. Instead of leaving a silica aerogel behind, the supercritical water redissolved the silica, which then precipitated as the water was vented. It was known at the time that water in aqueous gels could be exchanged with miscible organic liquids. Kistler then tried again by first thoroughly washing the silica gels with water (to remove salts from the gel), and then exchanging the water for alcohol. By converting the alcohol to a supercritical fluid and allowing it to escape, the first true aerogels were formed. Kistler's aerogels were very similar to silica aerogels prepared today. They were transparent, low density, and highly porous materials that stimulated considerable academic interest. Over the next several years, Kistler thoroughly characterized his silica aerogels, and prepared aerogels from many other materials, including alumina, tungsten oxide, ferric oxide, tin oxide, nickel tartarate, cellulose, cellulose nitrate, gelatin, agar, egg albumen, and rubber.

A few years later, Kistler left the College of the Pacific and took a position with Monsanto Corp. Shortly thereafter, Monsanto began marketing a product known simply as "aerogel". Monsanto's Aerogel was a granular silica material. Little is known about the processing conditions used to make this material, but it is assumed that its production followed Kistler's procedures. Monsanto's Aerogel was used as an additive or a thixotropic agent in cosmetics and toothpastes.
Very little new work on aerogels occurred throughout the next three decades. Eventually, in the 1960s, the development of inexpensive "fumed" silica undercut the market for aerogel, and Monsanto ceased production.

Aerogels had been largely forgotten when, in the late 1970s, the French government approached Stanislaus Teichner at Universite Claude Bernard, Lyon seeking a method for storing oxygen and rocket fuels in porous materials. There is a legend passed on between researchers in the aerogel community concerning what happened next. Teichner assigned one of his graduate students the task of preparing and studying aerogels for this application. However, using Kistler's method, which included two time-consuming and laborious solvent exchange steps, their first aerogel took weeks to prepare. Teichner then informed his student that a large number of aerogel samples would be needed for him to complete his dissertation. Realizing that this would take many, many years to accomplish, the student left Teichner's lab with a nervous breakdown. Upon returning after a brief rest, he was strongly motivated to find a better synthetic process. This directly lead to one of the major advances in aerogel science, namely the application of sol-gel chemistry to silica aerogel preparation. This process replaced the sodium silicate used by Kistler with an alkoxy silane, (tetramethyorthosilicate, TMOS). Hydrolyzing TMOS in a solution of methanol produced a gel in one step (called an "alcogel"). This eliminated two of the drawbacks in Kistler's procedure, namely, the water-to-alcohol exchange step and the presence of inorganic salts in the gel. Drying these alcogels under supercritical alcohol conditions produced high-quality silica aerogels. In subsequent years, Teichner's group, and others extended this approach to prepare aerogels of a wide variety of metal oxide aerogels.

After this discovery, new developments in aerogels science and technology occurred rapidly as an increasing number of researchers joined the field. Some of the more notable achievements are:

- In the early 1980s particle physics researchers realized that silica aerogels would be an ideal medium for the production and detection of Cherenkov radiation. These experiments required large transparent tiles of silica aerogel. Using the TMOS method, two large detectors were fabricated. One using 1700 liters of silica aerogel in the TASSO detector at the Deutsches Elektronen Synchrotron (DESY) in Hamburg, Germany, and another at CERN using 1000 liters of silica aerogel prepared at the University of Lund in Sweden.
- The first pilot plant for the production of silica aerogel monoliths using the TMOS method was established by members of the Lund group in Sjobo, Sweden. The plant included a 3000 liter autoclave designed to handle the high temperatures and pressures encountered for supercritical methanol (240 degrees C and 80 atmospheres). However, in 1984 the autoclave developed a leak during a production run. The room containing the vessel quickly filled with methanol vapors and subsequently exploded. Fortunately, there were no fatalities in this incident, but the facility was completely destroyed. The plant was later rebuilt and continues to produce silica aerogels using the TMOS process. The plant is currently operated by the Airglass Corp.
- In 1983 the Arlon Hunt and the Microstructured Materials Group at Berkeley Lab found that the very toxic compound TMOS could be replaced with tetraethylorthosilicate (TEOS), a much safer reagent. This did not lower the quality of the aerogels produced.
At the same time the Microstructured Materials Group also found that the alcohol within a gel could be replaced by liquid carbon dioxide before supercritical drying without harming the aerogel. This represented a major advance in safety as the critical point of CO$_2$ (31 degrees C and 1050 psi) occurs at much less severe conditions than the critical point of methanol (240 degrees C and 1600 psi). Additionally, carbon dioxide does not pose an explosion hazard as does alcohol. This process was put to use in making transparent silica aerogel tiles from TEOS.

BASF in Germany simultaneously developed CO$_2$ substitution methods for the preparation of silica aerogel beads from sodium silicate. This material was in production until 1996 and was marketed as "BASOGEL".

In 1985 Professor Jochen Fricke organized the first International Symposium on Aerogels in Wurzburg, Germany. Twenty-five papers were presented at this conference by researchers from around the world. Subsequent ISAs were held in 1988 (Montpellier, France), 1991 (Wurzburg), and 1994 (Berkeley, California, USA). The Fourth ISA set an attendance record with 151 participants, 10 invited papers, 51 contributed papers, and 35 poster presentations. The fifth ISA was recently held in Montpellier with almost 200 attendees.

In the late 1980s, researchers at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) lead by Larry Hrubesh prepared the worlds lowest density silica aerogel (and the lowest density solid material). This aerogel had a density of 0.003 g/cm$^3$, only three times that of air.

Shortly thereafter, Rick Pekala, also of LLNL, extended the techniques used to prepare inorganic aerogels to the preparation of aerogels of organic polymers. These included resorcinol-formaldehyde, melamine-formaldehyde aerogels. Resorcinol-formaldehyde aerogels could be pyrolyzed to give aerogels of pure carbon. This opened an completely new area in aerogel research.

Thermalux, L.P. was founded in 1989 by Arlon Hunt, and others, in Richmond California. Thermalux operated a 300 liter autoclave for the production of silica aerogel monoliths from TEOS using the carbon dioxide substitution process. Thermalux prepared a large quantity of aerogels, but, unfortunately, ceased operations in 1992.

Silica aerogel, prepared at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, has flown on several Space Shuttle missions. On these flights very low density aerogel was used to collect and return samples of high-velocity cosmic dust.

Researchers at the University of New Mexico, lead by C. Jeff Brinker and Doug Smith, and at other institutions have become increasingly successful at eliminating the supercritical drying step used in aerogel production by chemically modifying the surface of the gel prior to drying. This work lead to the founding of Nanopore to commercialize lower-cost aerogels.

In 1992, Hoechst Corp. in Frankfurt, Germany aslo began a program in low cost granular aerogels.

The Aerojet Corp. in Sacramento, California began a cooperative project with Berkeley Lab, LLNL, and others to commercialize aerogels using the carbon dioxide substitution process in 1994. Aerojet obtained the 300 liter autoclave formerly operated by Thermalux and produced various forms of silica, resorcinol-formaldehyde, and carbon aerogels. However, this program was abandoned in 1996.
With research and development proceeding at an ever increasing rate, it is likely that many more advances in aerogel technology and applications are imminent.

**How Silica Aerogels Are Made**

*The discussion below relies upon the following terms:*

**Hydrolysis:**
The reaction of a metal alkoxide (M-OR) with water, forming a metal hydroxide (M-OH).

**Condensation:**
A condensation reaction occurs when two metal hydroxides (M-OH + HO-M) combine to give a metal oxide species (M-O-M). The reaction forms one water molecule.

**Sol:**
A solution of various reactants that are undergoing hydrolysis and condensation reactions. The molecular weight of the oxide species produced continuously increases. As these species grow, they may begin to link together in a three-dimensional network.

**Gel Point:**
The point in time at which the network of linked oxide particles spans the container holding the Sol. At the gel point the Sol becomes an Alcogel.

**Alcogel (wet gel):**
At the gel point, the mixture forms a rigid substance called an alcogel. The alcogel can be removed from its original container and can stand on its own. An alcogel consists of two parts, a solid part and a liquid part. The solid part is formed by the three-dimensional network of linked oxide particles. The liquid part (the original solvent of the Sol) fills the free space surrounding the solid part. The liquid and solid parts of an alcogel occupy the same apparent volume.

**Supercritical fluid:**
A substance that is above its critical pressure and critical temperature. A supercritical fluid possesses some properties in common with a liquids (density, thermal conductivity) and some in common with gases. (fills its container, does not have surface tension).

**Aerogel:**
What remains when the liquid part of an alcogel is removed without damaging the solid part (most often achieved by supercritical extraction). If made correctly, the aerogel retains the original shape of the alcogel and at least 50% (typically >85%) of the alcogel's volume.

**Xerogel:**
What remains when the liquid part of an alcogel is removed by evaporation, or similar methods. Xerogels may retain their original shape, but often crack. The shrinkage during drying is often extreme (~90%) for xerogels.
Sol-Gel Chemistry

The formation of aerogels, in general, involves two major steps, the formation of a wet gel, and the drying of the wet gel to form an aerogel. Originally, wet gels were made by the aqueous condensation of sodium silicate, or a similar material. While this process worked well, the reaction formed salts within the gel that needed to be removed by many repetitive washings (a long, laborious procedure). With the rapid development of sol-gel chemistry over the last few decades, the vast majority of silica aerogels prepared today utilize silicon alkoxide precursors. The most common of these are tetramethyl orthosilicate (TMOS, Si(OCH₃)₄), and tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS, Si(OCH₂CH₃)₄). However, many other alkoxides, containing various organic functional groups, can be used to impart different properties to the gel. Alkoxide-based sol-gel chemistry avoids the formation of undesirable salt by-products, and allows a much greater degree of control over the final product. The balanced chemical equation for the formation of a silica gel from TEOS is:

$$\text{Si(OCH}_2\text{CH}_3\text{)}_4 \text{ (liq.)} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O (liq.)} = \text{SiO}_2 \text{ (solid)} + 4\text{HOCH}_2\text{CH}_3 \text{ (liq.)}$$

The above reaction is typically performed in ethanol, with the final density of the aerogel dependent on the concentration of silicon alkoxide monomers in the solution. Note that the stoichiometry of the reaction requires two moles of water per mole of TEOS. In practice, this amount of water leads to incomplete reaction, and weak, cloudy aerogels. Most aerogel recipes, therefore, use a higher water ratio than is required by the balanced equation (anywhere from 4-30 equivalents).

Catalysts

The kinetics of the above reaction are impractically slow at room temperature, often requiring several days to reach completion. For this reason, acid or base catalysts are added to the formulation. The amount and type of catalyst used play key roles in the microstructural, physical and optical properties of the final aerogel product.

Acid catalysts can be any protic acid, such as HCl. Basic catalysis usually uses ammonia, or ammonia buffered with ammonium fluoride. Aerogels prepared with acid catalysts often show more shrinkage during supercritical drying and may be less transparent than base catalyzed aerogels. The microstructural effects of various catalysts are harder to describe accurately, as the substructure of the primary particles of aerogels can be difficult to image with electron microscopy. All show small (2-5 nm diameter) particles that are generally spherical or egg-shaped. With acid catalysis, however, these particles may appear "less solid" (looking something like a ball of string) than those in base-catalyzed gels.

As condensation reactions progress the sol will set into a rigid gel. At this point, the gel is usually removed from its mold. However, the gel must be kept covered by alcohol to prevent evaporation of the liquid contained in the pores of the gel. Evaporation causes severe damage to the gel and will lead to poor quality aerogels.
Single-Step vs. Two-Step Aerogels

Typical acid or base catalyzed TEOS gels are often classified as "single-step" gels, referring to the "one-pot" nature of this reaction. A more recently developed approach uses pre-polymerized TEOS as the silica source. Pre-polymerized TEOS is prepared by heating an ethanol solution of TEOS with a sub-stoichiometric amount of water and an acid catalyst. The solvent is removed by distillation, leaving a viscous fluid containing higher molecular weight silicon alkoxy-oxides. This material is redisolved in ethanol and reacted with additional water under basic conditions until gelation occurs. Gels prepared in this way are known as "two-step" acid-base catalyzed gels. Pre-polymerized TEOS is available commercially in the U.S. from Silbond Corp. (Silbond H-5).

These slightly different processing conditions impart subtle, but important changes to the final aerogel product. Single-step base catalyzed aerogels are typically mechanically stronger, but more brittle, than two-step aerogels. While two-step aerogels have a smaller and narrower pore size distribution and are often optically clearer than single-step aerogels.

Aging and Soaking

When a sol reaches the gel point, it is often assumed that the hydrolysis and condensation reactions of the silicon alkoxide reactant are complete. This is far from the case. The gel point simply represents the time when the polymerizing silica species span the container containing the sol. At this point the silica backbone of the gel contains a significant number of unreacted alkoxide groups. In fact, hydrolysis and condensation can continue for several times the time needed for gelation. Failure to realize, and to accommodate this fact is one of the most common mistakes made in preparing silica aerogels. The solution is simple, patience. Sufficient time must be given for the strengthening of the silica network. This can be enhanced by controlling the pH and water content of the covering solution. Common aging procedures for base catalyzed gels typically involve soaking the gel in an alcohol/water mixture of equal proportions to the original sol at a pH of 8-9 (ammonia). The gels are best left undisturbed in this solution for up to 48 hours.

This step, and all subsequent processing steps, are diffusion controlled. That is, transport of material into, and out of, the gel is unaffected by convection or mixing (due to the solid silica network). Diffusion, in turn, is affected by the thickness of the gel. In short, the time required for each processing step increases dramatically as the thickness of the gel increases. This limits the practical production of aerogels to 1-2 cm-thick pieces.

After aging the gel, all water still contained within its pores must be removed prior to drying. This is simply accomplished by soaking the gel in pure alcohol several times until all the water is removed. Again, the length of time required for this process is dependent on the thickness of the gel. Any water left in the gel will not be removed by supercritical drying, and will lead to an opaque, white, and very dense aerogel.
Supercritical Drying

The final, and most important, process in making silica aerogels is supercritical drying. This is where the liquid within the gel is removed, leaving only the linked silica network. The process can be performed by venting the ethanol above its critical point (high temperature—very dangerous) or by prior solvent exchange with CO₂ followed by supercritical venting (lower temperatures—less dangerous). It is imperative that this process only be performed in an autoclave specially designed for this purpose (small autoclaves used by electron microscopists to prepare biological samples are acceptable for CO₂ drying). The process is as follows. The alcogels are placed in the autoclave (which has been filled with ethanol). The system is pressurized to at least 750-850 psi with CO₂ and cooled to 5-10 degrees C. Liquid CO₂ is then flushed through the vessel until all the ethanol has been removed from the vessel and from within the gels. When the gels are ethanol-free the vessel is heated to a temperature above the critical temperature of CO₂ (31 degrees C). As the vessel is heated the pressure of the system rises. CO₂ is carefully released to maintain a pressure slightly above the critical pressure of CO₂ (1050 psi). The system is held at these conditions for a short time, followed by the slow, controlled release of CO₂ to ambient pressure. As with previous steps, the length of time required for this process is dependent on the thickness of the gels. The process may last anywhere from 12 hours to 6 days.

At this point the vessel can be opened and the aerogels admired for their intrinsic beauty.

The graphic below shows the process conditions for both the carbon dioxide substitution/drying process and the alcohol drying process.
Typical Recipes

Single-Step Base Catalyzed Silica Aerogel

This will produce an aerogel with a density of approx. 0.08 g/cm$^3$. The gel time should be 60-120 minutes, depending on temperature.

1. Mix two solutions:
   1. Silica solution containing 50 mL of TEOS, 40 mL of ethanol
   2. Catalyst solution containing 35 mL of ethanol, 70 mL of water, 0.275 mL of 30% aqueous ammonia, and 1.21 mL of 0.5 M ammonium fluoride.
2. Slowly add the catalyst solution to the silica solution with stirring.
3. Pour the mixture into an appropriate mold until gelation.
4. Process as described above.

Two-Step Acid-Base Catalyzed Silica Aerogel

This will produce an aerogel with a density of approx. 0.08 g/cm$^3$. The gel time should be 30-90 minutes, depending on temperature.

1. Mix two solutions:
   1. Silica solution containing 50 mL of precondensed silica (Silbond H-5, or equivalent), 50mL of ethanol
   2. Catalyst solution containing 35 mL of ethanol, 75 mL of water, and 0.35 mL of 30% aqueous ammonia.
2. Slowly add the catalyst solution to the silica solution with stirring.
3. Pour the mixture into an appropriate mold until gelation.
4. Process as described above.

How Do You Work With Silica Aerogel Without Breaking It?

The first thing most people do when they touch silica aerogels for the first time is shatter it into a million pieces. You may hear statements in the media like-"A new Space-Age material that will support up to 1000 times its own weight..." This may be true, but it is important to remember that since silica aerogel is a very low density material, "1000 times its own weight" isn't very much weight at all. Also, remember that silica aerogel is just another form of glass. If aerogel is handled roughly, it will break just like glass. However, if care is taken, the material can be handled and shaped effectively. A few pointers:

- Don't try to pick up large pieces by the corners. Slide a thin sheet of metal, or other stiff material, under the aerogel and use this to move the piece.
Silica aerogel is much more durable if it is under compression. This is simply accomplished by vacuum-sealing the aerogel in a plastic bag (a typical food sealer works well for this). This method is very useful for shipping samples.

Silica aerogel is best cut using a diamond coated saw, similar to the type used by gem and stone cutters. The most difficult problem here is holding the piece steady. A vacuum chuck works well for this.

Most silica aerogel is destroyed by contact with liquids. However, it can be protected from damage by water (see the section on surface chemistry).

Rapid changes in ambient pressure can cause the aerogel to shatter as gases try to enter or escape the pore network. Use care when placing aerogels under high vacuum.

Many people ask if there are any hazards associated with handling silica aerogel. Working with aerogel with your bare hands can have a slight desiccating effect on the skin. This is due to the absorbance of moisture and oils from the skin into the pores of the aerogel. This is more of a nuisance than a hazard and can be avoided by wearing gloves. Cutting and shaping aerogels usually produces a cloud of fine dust. The particles of aerogel in the dust are smooth and round and, therefore, are not considered to be a laceration hazard (as asbestos is). Nevertheless, it is a good precaution to work in a fume hood or wear a good respirator to avoid inhalation of the aerogel dust.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apparent Density</td>
<td>0.003-0.35 g/cm³</td>
<td>Most common density is ~0.1 g/cm³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Surface Area</td>
<td>600-1000 m²/g</td>
<td>As determined by nitrogen adsorption/desorption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Solids</td>
<td>0.13-15%</td>
<td>Typically 5% (95% free space)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Pore Diameter</td>
<td>~20 nm</td>
<td>As determined by nitrogen adsorption/desorption (varies with density)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Particle Diameter</td>
<td>2-5 nm</td>
<td>Determined by electron microscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index of Refraction</td>
<td>1.0-1.05</td>
<td>Very low for a solid material</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal Tolerance</td>
<td>to 500 C</td>
<td>Shrinkage begins slowly at 500 C, increases with inc. temperature. Melting point is &gt;1200 C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of Thermal Expansion</td>
<td>2.0-4.0 x 10⁻⁶</td>
<td>Determined using ultrasonic methods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson's Ratio</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>Independent of density. Similar to dense silica.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young's Modulus</td>
<td>10⁶-10⁷</td>
<td>Very small (&lt;10⁴x) compared to dense silica.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property</td>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/m^2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tensile Strength</td>
<td>16 kPa</td>
<td>For density = 0.1 g/cm^3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fracture Toughness</td>
<td>~0.8 kPa* m^{1/2}</td>
<td>For density = 0.1 g/cm^3. Determined by 3-point bending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dielectric Constant</td>
<td>~1.1</td>
<td>For density = 0.1 g/cm^3. Very low for a solid material</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sound Velocity Through the Medium</td>
<td>100 m/sec</td>
<td>For density = 0.07 g/cm^3. One of the lowest velocities for a solid material</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Silica Aerogels for Absorbing Kinetic Energy

When someone who has never seen a piece of silica aerogel holds some for the first time, the following chain of events usually results. The observer first notices the transparency and light weight of the aerogel and makes some sort of remark about these properties. Then the piece is held between two fingers and gently squeezed. The aerogel gives a little, and springs back. Then a little more force is applied and...pffttt, the piece shatters into a thousand pieces, most of which find a home deep in the carpeting, never to be seen again. Not surprisingly, researchers who have spent the time and effort required to make silica aerogels are usually very reluctant to hand them out to just anyone. Because of this, an application of silica aerogels that has been largely overlooked is their use as an absorber of kinetic energy (impacts) in safety and protective devices.

Energy Absorbing Materials

In very simplified terms, materials absorb kinetic energy by plastic deformation, elastic deformation, brittle fracture, or by the fluid dynamics of gases or liquids within the material. Materials used today for absorbing impacts are commonly organic foams, such as expanded polystyrene, polyurethanes, polyethers, or polyethylene. These typically show elastomeric or plastic behavior. Silica aerogels, being an inorganic solid, are inherently brittle. A brittle material would, at first, seem to be a poor choice for a cushioning material. However, as silica aerogels are usually very low density materials, the collapse of the solid network occurs gradually, spreading the force of impact out over a longer time. Additionally, as silica aerogels are an open-pored material, the gas contained within the bulk of the solid in forced outwards as the material collapses. In doing so, the gas must pass through the pore network of the aerogel. The frictional forces caused as a gas passes through a restricted opening are indirectly proportional to the square of the pore diameter. As silica aerogels have very narrow pores (~20-50 nm), gases
rapidly passing through the material will absorb a considerable amount of energy. Therefore, the energy of an object impacting a silica aerogel is taken up by the aerogel by the collapse of its solid structure and the release of gas from within the material.

An effective material for use in safety devices will serve to minimize the force felt by the object (or person) to be protected. This is usually done by spreading the deceleration of the impacting object over a longer period of time. The graphic below shows load versus time for a silica aerogels sample, and two other materials. The samples were cubes 5 cm on a side and were crushed by an 8 lb. weight traveling at 11 ft/sec. The red curve represents a silica aerogel with a density of 0.1g/cm³, the yellow curve is expanded polystyrene, and the green is an elastomeric polypropylene foam. The plots show that both the aerogel and the polystyrene foam reduce the maximum load produced to a very low level. It may, therefore, seem that readily available polystyrene foam may be a more appropriate material than the more unusual silica aerogel.

The situation is not as straightforward as this. Many organic foams produce a significant amount of rebound when they are impacted. This transfers a portion of the energy absorbed by the material back into the object that impacted it (such as a human head). This rebound effect can often do further damage to the object being protected. The plot of deflection (distance moved by the impacting object) vs. time for silica aerogel and polystyrene shown below demonstrates the differences of these materials (Note: Deflection data are derived from measured load values and are for comparison purposes only). The polystyrene (yellow), which behaves elastically and plasticly, is crushed by the impacting weight (positive deflection) but then springs back to a considerable fraction of its original volume. Conversely, the weight that impacts the silica aerogel (red) travels a certain distance into the material and then comes to a complete stop.
without bouncing. This is an important phenomenon to consider when developing materials for safety and protective devices.

These data were collected using a Dynatup Drop-Weight System with the kind assistance of GRC Instruments Inc, Santa Barbara, CA, a division of GRC International.

Environmental Concerns

The production and use of silica aerogels is environmentally benign. No significantly hazardous wastes are produced during their production. The disposal of silica aerogels is perfectly natural. In the environment, they quickly crush into a fine powder that is essentially identical to one of the most common substances on Earth, namely, sand. Additionally, silica aerogels are completely non-toxic and non-flammable. If they eventually find their way into widespread use as protective materials, they could eliminate a very large amount of unwanted plastic materials.

Potential Uses

The attractive energy absorbing properties of silica aerogels may lead to their use in various applications. These may include personal protection in motor vehicles, protection of sensitive equipment such as aircraft flight data recorders, and protection of electronic equipment such as laptop computer hard drives.
The Surface Chemistry of Silica Aerogels

Silica aerogels contain primary particles of 2-5 nm in diameter. Silica particles of such a small size have an extraordinarily large surface-to-volume ratio (~2 x 10^9 m^-1) and a corresponding high specific surface area (~900 m^2/g). It is not surprising, therefore, that the chemistry of the interior surface of an aerogel plays a dominant role in its chemical and physical behavior. It is this property that makes aerogels attractive materials for use as catalysts, catalyst substrates, and adsorbents.

The nature of the surface groups of a silica aerogel are strongly dependent on the conditions used in its preparation. For example, if an aerogel is prepared using the supercritical alcohol drying process, its surface may consist primarily of alkoxy- (-OR) groups. On the other hand, with the carbon dioxide drying process the surface is almost exclusively covered with hydroxyl (-OH) groups. The extent of hydroxyl- coverage is ~5 -OH/nm^2, a value consistent with other forms of silica. This value, combined with their high specific surface area, means that silica aerogels present an extremely large number of accessible hydroxyl groups. Silica aerogels are therefore a somewhat acidic material. A more striking effect of the hydroxyl surface is seen the physical behavior of silica aerogels.

As with most hydroxyl surfaces, the surface of silica aerogels can show strong hydrogen-bonding effects. Because of this, silica aerogels with hydroxyl surface are extremely hygroscopic. Dry silica aerogels will absorb water directly from moist air, with mass increases of up to 20%. This absorption has no visible effect on the aerogel, and is completely reversible. Simply heating the material to 100-120 degrees C will completely dry the material in about an hour (or longer, depending on thickness). As the sample cools, water will reabsorb quickly (mass increases can be seen almost immediately).

While the adsorption of water vapor does not harm silica aerogels, contact with liquid water has disastrous results. The strong attractive forces that the hydroxyl surface exerts on water vapor also attracts liquid water. However, when liquid water enters a nanometer-scale pore, the surface tension of water exerts capillary forces strong enough to fracture the solid silica backbone. The net effect is a complete collapse of the aerogel monolith. The material changes from a transparent solid with a definite shape to a fine white powder. The powder has the same mass and total surface area as the original aerogel, but has lost its solid integrity. Silica aerogels with fully hydroxylated surfaces are, therefore, classified as "hydrophilic".

This would appear to pose a significant problem to using silica aerogels in exposed environments. Fortunately, this problem can be easily circumvented by converting the surface hydroxyl (-OH) groups to a non-polar (-OR) group. This is effective when R is one of many possible aliphatic groups, although trimethylsilyl- groups are the most common. The derivitization can be performed before (on the wet gel) or after (on the aerogel) supercritical drying. This completely protects the aerogel from damage by liquid water by eliminating the attractive forces between water and the silica surface. In fact, silica aerogels treated in this way
can not be wet by water, and will float on its surface indefinitely. Silica aerogels that have been derivitized in this way are classified as "hydrophobic".

The illustrations below demonstrate the interaction of water with the pore structure and solid backbone of silica aerogels.

---

**The Pore Structure of Silica Aerogel**

The pore structure of silica aerogels is difficult to describe in words. Unfortunately, the available methods of characterizing porosity do only a slightly better job. The International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry has recommended a classification for porous materials where pores of less than 2 nm in diameter are termed "micropores", those with diameters between 2 and 50 nm are termed "mesopores", and those greater than 50 nm in diameter are termed "macropores". Silica aerogels possess pores of all three sizes. However, the majority of the pores fall in the mesopore regime, with relatively few micropores. The pore size distribution of a single-step silica aerogel is shown below:

It is very important when interpreting porosity data to indicate the method used to determine the data. Various measurement techniques can give differing results for the same sample. The entire range of characterization methods has been applied to silica aerogels, including:
**Gas/Vapor adsorption.** This is the most widely available and utilized method for determining aerogel porosity. In this technique a gas, usually nitrogen, at its boiling point, is adsorbed on the solid sample. The amount of gas adsorbed depends on the size of the pores within the sample and on the partial pressure of the gas relative to its saturation pressure. By measuring the volume of gas adsorbed at a particular partial pressure, the Brunauer, Emmit and Teller (BET) equation gives the specific surface area of the material. At high partial pressures the hysteresis in the adsorption/desorption curves (called "isotherms"), the Kelvin equation gives the pore size distribution of the sample. The pore size distribution shown above was determined using a 40-point nitrogen adsorption/desorption analysis. Gas adsorption methods are generally applicable to pore in the mesopore range. However, microporosity information can be inferred through mathematical analyses such as t-plots or the Dubinin-Radushevich method. Gas adsorption can not effectively determine macropores. For a detailed description of this procedure, see the IUPAC guidelines for "Reporting Physisorption Data for Gas/Solid Systems" in *Pure and Applied Chemistry*, volume 57, page 603, (1985).

**Mercury Porosimetry:** This technique is generally not effective for aerogels. The high compressive forces encountered in forcing mercury into the pores of an aerogel cause its structure to collapse.

**Scattering Methods (x-ray, neutron and visible light):** Scattering methods involve the angle dependent deflection of radiation by features within the sample. These features can be solid particles or pores. Scattering efficiency is greatest when the wavelength of the radiation used is comparable to the features being studied. X-ray and neutron scattering are particularly well suited for determining the fractal geometry of the aerogel pore network.

**Other methods:** Gas/solid NMR, electron microscopy of replicants, and atomic force microscopy have also been used to characterize the pore network of silica aerogels with limited success.

Because of the limitations of these methods, a major problem in aerogel science remains unresolved. If the mass, density, and total pore volume of an aerogel are measured, it is apparent that there is a substantial amount of porosity that is not accounted for. This obviously results from the drawbacks of using gas adsorption to determine the pore volume. It is assumed that the
"Missing porosity" lies in the micro- or macropore regimes, areas not measured effectively by this method.

One final important aspect of the aerogel pore network is its "open" nature and interconnectedness. Pores in various materials are either open or closed depending on whether the pore walls are solid or themselves porous (or at least "holey"). A macroscopic example of an open-pored material is a common sponge, while "bubble wrap" packaging is an example of a closed-pore material. In a closed-pore material, gases or liquids can not enter the pore without breaking the pore walls. This is not the case with an open-pore structure. In this instance, gases or liquids can flow from pore to pore, with limited restriction, and eventually through the entire material. It is this property that makes silica aerogels effective materials for gas phase catalysts, microfiltration membranes, adsorbents, and substrates for chemical vapor infiltration.

Optical Properties of Silica Aerogels

The optical properties of silica aerogels are best described by the phrase "silica aerogels are transparent". This may seem obvious, as silica aerogels are made of the same material as glass. However, the situation is not as simple as that comparison. While distant objects can be viewed through several centimeters of silica aerogel, the material displays a slight bluish haze when an illuminated piece is viewed against a dark background and slightly reddens transmitted light. These effects are a result of Rayleigh scattering effects. The various aspects of optical transmission through silica aerogel are discussed below.

Rayleigh Scattering

The vast majority of the light that we see when we look at objects is scattered light (light that reaches our eyes in an indirect way). The phenomenon of scattering leads to several well known natural effects, such as blue skies, red sunsets, the white (or gray) color of clouds, and poor visibility on foggy days. Scattering results from the interaction of light with inhomogeneities in solid, liquid, or gaseous materials. The actual entity that causes scattering, called the scattering center, can be as small as a single large molecule (with an inherent inhomogeneity) or clusters of small molecules arranged in a non-uniform way. However, scattering becomes more effective when the size of the scattering center is similar to the wavelength of the incident light. This occurs in small particles (~400-700 nm in diameter for visible light) that are separated from one another, or by larger, macroscopic, particles with inherent irregularities. When scattering centers are smaller in size than the wavelength of the incident light, scattering is much less effective. In silica aerogels, the primary particles have a diameter of ~2-5 nm, and do not contribute significantly to the observed scattering. However, scattering does not necessarily arise from solid structures. There is in silica aerogels, a network of pores which can act, themselves, as scattering centers (see the section on the pore structure of aerogels). The majority of these are much smaller (~20 nm) than the wavelength of visible light. There are, however, invariably a certain number of larger pores that scatter visible light. Control of the number and size of these larger pores is, to a certain degree, possible by modifying the sol-gel chemistry used to prepare the aerogel. As
scattering efficiency is dependent on the size of the scattering center, different wavelengths will scatter with varying magnitudes. This causes the reddening of transmitted light (red light has a longer wavelength, and is scattered less by the fine structure of aerogels) and the blue appearance of the reflected light off silica aerogels.

A simple method can be used to quantitatively measure the relative contributions of Rayleigh scattering and the wavelength-independent transmission factor (due to surface damage and imperfections) for silica aerogels prepared with different recipes and/or drying procedures. Briefly, the transmission spectrum of an aerogel slab of known thickness is measured and the transmission is plotted against the inverse fourth power of the wavelength. These data are fit to the equation:

\[
T = Ae^{\left(-\frac{Ct}{\lambda^4}\right)}
\]

where \(T\) = transmittance, \(A\) = wavelength independent transmission factor, \(C\) = intensity of Rayleigh scattering, \(t\) = sample thickness, and \(\lambda\) = wavelength. From this plot \(A\) and \(C\) can be determined. Aerogels with a high value of \(A\) and a low value of \(C\) will be the most transparent. Scattering may also be accompanied by absorbance which will further attenuate the transmitted light.

**Visible Transmission Spectrum**

The intrinsic absorbance of silica is low in the visible region. Therefore the transmittance in this region is primarily attenuated by scattering effects. As wavelengths become progressively shorter, scattering increased, eventually cutting off transmission near 300 nm. Weak absorbances
begin to appear in the near infrared, and again cuts off transmission around 2700-3200 nm.

There is then a "visible window" of transmission through silica aerogel that is an attractive feature of this material for daylighting applications.

**Infrared Spectrum**

As the spectrum moves into the infrared, scattering becomes less important, and standard molecular vibrations account for the spectral structure. A strong, broad absorbance band is usually observed at 3500 cm\(^{-1}\), due to O-H stretching vibrations. A weaker O-H bending vibration band is seen at 1600 cm\(^{-1}\). Both adsorbed water and surface -OH groups contribute to these bands. Thoroughly drying the sample before analysis will eliminate vibrations due to water, while surface -OH groups can be significantly eliminated by firing the aerogel at 500 degrees C. The Si-O-Si fundamental vibration gives the strong band at ~1100 cm\(^{-1}\). There is a region of high infrared transparency between 3300 and 2000 cm\(^{-1}\). This allows a certain amount of thermal radiation to pass through silica aerogel and lower its thermal insulative performance. Addition of additives that absorb radiation in this region can remedy this problem (see the section on Thermal Conductivity).

![Infrared Spectrum of Silica Aerogel](image)

**Thermal Properties of Silica Aerogels**

After preparing the first silica aerogels, Kistler proceeded to characterize them as thoroughly as possible. One of the extraordinary properties that he discovered was their very low thermal conductivity. Kistler also found that the thermal conductivity decreased even further under
vacuum. However, in the 1930's thermal insulation was a low priority and applications of aerogels in insulation systems was not pursued. The renaissance of aerogel technology around 1980 coincided with an increased concern for energy efficiency and the environmental effects of chlorofluorocarbons (CFC's). It was then readily apparent that silica aerogels were an attractive alternative to traditional insulation due to their high insulating value and environment-friendly production methods. Unfortunately, the production costs of the material were prohibitive to cost-sensitive industries such as housing. A significant research effort was undertaken, and is continuing, at several institutions worldwide (including Berkeley Lab) to circumvent this problem by increasing the insulative performance and lowering the production costs of silica aerogels.

The passage of thermal energy through an insulating material occurs through three mechanisms; solid conductivity, gaseous conductivity, and radiative (infrared) transmission. The sum of these three components gives the total thermal conductivity of the material. Solid conductivity is an intrinsic property of a specific material. For dense silica, solid conductivity is relatively high (a single-pane window transmits a large amount of thermal energy). However, silica aerogels possess a very small (~1-10%) fraction of solid silica. Additionally, the solids that are present consist of very small particles linked in a three-dimensional network (with many "dead-ends"). Therefore, thermal transport through the solid portion of silica aerogel occurs through a very tortuous path and is not particularly effective. The space not occupied by solids in an aerogel is normally filled with air (or another gas) unless the material is sealed under vacuum. These gases can also transport thermal energy through the aerogel. The pores of silica aerogel are open and allow the passage of gas (albeit with difficulty) through the material. The final mode of thermal transport through silica aerogels involves infrared radiation. A advantage of silica aerogels for insulation applications is their visible transparency (which will allow their use in windows and skylights). However, they are also reasonably transparent in the infrared (especially between 3-5 microns). At low temperatures, the radiative component of thermal transport is low, and not a significant problem. At higher temperatures, radiative transport becomes the dominant mode of thermal conduction, and must be dealt with. The infrared spectrum of silica aerogel can be found in the section on Optical Properties.

Attempting to calculate the total thermal conductivity arising from the sum of these three modes can be difficult, as they modes are coupled (changing the infrared absorbency of the aerogel also changes the solid conductivity, etc.). It is generally easier to measure the total thermal conductivity directly rather than predict the effect of changing one component. To achieve this, the Microstructured Materials Group at Berkeley Lab designed and built an economical, but accurate instrument for measuring the thermal conductivity of large aerogel panels. The Vacuum Insulation Conductivity Tester (On Rollers) -VICTOR, is a thin-film heater based device that can measure the thermal conductivity of panels up to 26 cm on edge, with pressures of various gases down to 0.01 Torr.

**Minimizing the solid component of thermal conductivity**

There is little that can be done to reduce thermal transport through the solid structure of silica aerogels. Lower density aerogels can be prepared (as low as 0.003 g/cm$^3$), which reduces the amount of solid present, but this leads to aerogels that are mechanically weaker. Additionally, as
the amount of solids decreases the mean pore diameter increases (with an increase in the gaseous component of the conductivity). These are, therefore, generally not suitable for insulation applications. However, as noted above, the tortuous solid structure of silica aerogels leads to a intrinsically low thermal transport. Granular aerogels have an extremely low solid conductivity component. This is due to the small point of contact between granules in an aerogel bed. However, in granular aerogel, the inter-granule voids increase the overall porosity of the material thereby requiring a higher vacuum to achieve the maximum performance (see below).

**Minimizing the gaseous component of thermal conductivity.**

A typical silica aerogel has a total thermal conductivity of ~0.017 W/mK (~R10/inch). A major portion of this energy transport results from the gases contained within the aerogel. This is the transport mode that is most easily controllable. As a consequence of their fine pore structure, the mean pore diameter of an aerogel is similar in magnitude to the mean free path of nitrogen (and oxygen) molecules at standard temperatures and pressures. If the mean free path of a particular gas were longer than the pore diameter of an aerogel, the gas molecules would collide more frequently with the pore walls than with each other. If this were the case, the thermal energy of the gas would be transferred to the solid portion of the aerogel (with its low intrinsic conductivity). Lengthening the mean free path relative to the mean pore diameter can be accomplished in three ways; by filling the aerogel with a gas with a lower molecular mass (and a longer mean free path) than air, by reducing the pore diameter of the aerogel, and by lowering the gas pressure within the aerogel.

The first of these methods is generally not practical, as light gases are relatively expensive and would eventually escape the system. Increasing the density of the aerogel can reduce the mean pore diameter. However, any benefit from a lower gaseous conductivity component is counteracted by an increase in the solid conductivity component. The pore diameter can be reduced somewhat (while keeping the aerogel's density constant) by using the two-step process to prepare the aerogel (see the section on Aerogel Preparation). The greatest improvement is found by reducing the gas pressure. Vacuum insulations are commonplace in various products (such as Thermos bottles). These systems generally require a high vacuum to be maintained indefinitely to achieve the desired performance. In the case of aerogels, however, it is only necessary to reduce the pressure enough to lengthen the mean free path of the gas relative to the mean pore diameter. This occurs for most aerogels at a pressure of about 50 Torr. This is a very modest vacuum that can be easily obtained and maintained (by sealing the aerogel in a light plastic bag).

The graphic below shows Thermal Conductivity vs. Pressure curves obtained on VICTOR for single-step and two-step silica aerogels. The minimum value of ~0.008 W/mK corresponds to ~R20/inch.

**Minimizing the radiative component of thermal conductivity**
As noted above, radiative component of thermal conductivity becomes more important as temperatures increase. If silica aerogels are to be used at temperatures above 200 degree C, this mode of energy transport must be suppressed. This can be accomplished by adding an additional component to the aerogel, either before or after supercritical drying. (See the section on Composite Materials). The second component must either absorb or scatter infrared radiation. A major challenge for this process is to add a component that does not interfere with the mechanical integrity of the aerogel or increase its solid conductivity. One of the most promising
additives is elemental carbon. Carbon is an effective absorber of infrared radiation and, in some cases, actually increases the mechanical strength of the aerogel.

The graphic above shows Thermal Conductivity vs. Pressure curves obtained on VICTOR for pure single-step silica aerogel and single-step silica aerogel with 9% (wt/wt) carbon black. At ambient pressure the addition of carbon lowers the thermal conductivity from 0.017 to 0.0135 W/mK. The minimum value for the carbon composite of ~0.0042 W/mK corresponds to ~R30/inch.

Silica Aerogel Nanocomposite Materials

It was readily apparent to early researchers working on aerogels that they were ideal for use in composite materials. However, with much fundamental research needed into the preparation and properties of aerogels, this area has only recently been explored. In this discussion "composite" is used in the broadest sense of the term, where the final product consists of a "substrate" (the silica aerogel) and one or more additional phases (of any composition or scale). As all the materials considered here have a silica aerogel substrate, there is always at least one phase with physical structures with dimensions on the order of nanometers (the particles and pores of the aerogel). The additional phases may also have nanoscale dimensions, or may be larger (up to centimeters). Because of this the materials can legitimately be classified as "nanocomposites". There are generally three routes to aerogel nanocomposites: addition of the second component during the sol-gel processing of the material (before supercritical drying), addition of the second component through the vapor phase (after supercritical drying), and chemical modification of the aerogel backbone through reactive gas treatment.

Aerogel nanocomposites through Sol-Gel processing

This approach is the logical first route to aerogel nanocomposites and can produce many varieties of composites. There are, however, limitations to these procedures. Simply stated, a non-silica material is added to the silica sol before gelation. This added material may be a soluble organic or inorganic compound, insoluble powders, polymers, biomaterials, bulk fibers, woven cloths, or porous preforms. In all cases, the additional components must withstand the subsequent process steps used to form the aerogel (alcohol soaking, and supercritical drying). The conditions encountered in the CO₂ drying process are milder than the alcohol drying process and are more amenable to forming composites. If the added components are bulk, insoluble materials (such as carbon fibers or mineral powders), steps must be taken to prevent the settling of the insoluble phase before gelation. This can often be accomplished by gently agitating the mixture until gelation is imminent. The silica aerogel with the best Thermal Properties results from the addition of a small amount of carbon black to the sol using this technique.

The addition of soluble inorganic or organic compounds to the sol provides a virtually unlimited number of possible composites. There are two criteria that must be met to prepare a composite by this route. First, the added component must not interfere with the gelation chemistry of the
silica precursor. This is difficult to predict in advance, but rarely a problem if the added component is reasonably inert. The second problem encountered in this process is the leaching out of the added phases during the alcohol soak or supercritical drying steps. This can be a significant impediment if a high loading of the second phase is desired in the final composite. When the addend component is a metal complex, it is often useful to use a binding agent, such as (CH₃O)₃SiCH₂CH₂NHCH₂CH₂NH₂. This can bind with the silica backbone through the hydrolysis of its methoxysilane groups and chelate the metal complex with its dangling diamine. This general approach has been used by several research groups to prepare nanocomposites of silica aerogels or xerogels. After the gel has been dried, the resulting composite consists of a silica aerogel with metal ions atomically dispersed throughout the material. Thermal post-processing induces thermal diffusion and reduction of the metal ions, forming nanometer-scale metal particles within the aerogel matrix. These composites are being extensively studies for use as catalysts for gas-phase reactions.

**Aerogel nanocomposites through Chemical Vapor Infiltration.**

The open Pore Network of silica aerogels allows for easy transport of vapors throughout the entire volume of the material. This provides another route to an aerogel nanocomposite. Virtually any compound with at least a slight vapor pressure can be deposited throughout a silica aerogel. In fact, silica aerogels should be stored in a clean environment to prevent the unwanted absorption of volatile pollutants. To prevent subsequent desorption of the added phase, it is useful to convert the adsorbed material into a non-volatile phase by thermal or chemical decomposition. This can be done during, or after the initial deposition. The Microstructured Materials Group has prepared a wide variety of aerogel nanocomposites using this process, including:

**Silica aerogel-Carbon composites.** These have been prepared through the decomposition of various hydrocarbon gases at high temperatures. However, due to the fine structure of silica aerogels, the decomposition take place at a much lower temperature (200-450 degrees C) than the corresponding decomposition in the absence of the aerogel. Carbon loadings ranging from 1-800% have been observed. Surprisingly, at lower loadings, the carbon deposition is relatively uniform throughout the volume of monolithic aerogel slabs. At higher loadings, the carbon begins to localize at the exterior surface of the composite monolith. Interesting aspects of these composites include electrical conductivity at higher loadings, and mechanical strengthening of the composite relative to the original aerogel.

**Silica aerogel-Silicon composites.** The thermal decomposition of various organosilanes on a silica aerogel forms deposits of elemental silicon. In this case the rapid decomposition of the silane precursor leads to deposits localized near the exterior surface of the aerogel substrate. Thermal annealing of the composite induces crystallization of the silicon. The resulting composite, with 20-30 nm diameter silicon particles, exhibits strong visible photoluminescence at 600 nm.

**Silica aerogel-Transition Metal composites.** Organo-transition metal complexes are idea precursors for this type of composite. Even the least volatile of these possess a sufficient vapor pressure to be deposited within an aerogel. Under controlled conditions, these deposit uniformly throughout the entire volume of the aerogel monolith. Typically, the metal compounds are then thermally degraded to their base metals. These intermediate composites are generally highly
reactive, due to the disperse nature of the metallic phase, and can be easily converted to metal oxides, sulfides, or halides. This process can be repeated several times to increase the loading of the metallic phase. Typically composites prepared in this way possess crystals of the desired metal compound on the order of 5-100 nm in diameter.

The graphic below displays the magnetization/demagnetization curve for a silica aerogel/Fe₃O₄ composite prepared by this process. The curve shows that the composite is a "soft" ferromagnetic material. The magnetite crystals in this composite are 20-60 nm in diameter. Many appear to be single domain, as observed by electron microscopy.

![B-H Curve of Silica Aerogel/Magnetite Nanocomposite](image)

The Microstructured Materials Group has a patent pending on various aspects of this process, which is available for Technology Transfer.

**Aerogel nanocomposites through Energized Gas Treatment.**

The Microstructured Materials Group has recently discovered a simple process that can alter the chemical structure of the silica (or other oxide) backbone of an aerogel. This process utilizes an energized reducing (or other) gas to form thin films of new material on the interior surface of the aerogel. The techniques used in this case are similar to standard plasma methods. However, the nanoscale pore structure of silica aerogels prohibit the formation of a plasma within an aerogel. Nevertheless, the centers of thick monoliths are affected by this process. In the simplest case, silica aerogel monoliths are partially reduced by energized hydrogen. The resulting composite consists of a silica aerogel with a thin layer of oxygen-deficient silica (SiOₓ) on the interior surface. As with other reduced silica materials, this material exhibits strong visible photoluminescence at 490-500 nm when excited by ultraviolet (330 nm) light. However, the process used in this case is relatively gentle, and does not alter the physical shape or optical
transparency of the original aerogel. This composite is the basis for the aerogel Optical Oxygen Sensor.

The Microstructured Materials Group has a patent pending on this process, which is available for Technology Transfer.

As noted above, several aerogel nanocomposites exhibit strong visible photoluminescence. The spectra shown below are for the silicon nanoparticles/silica aerogel (red emitter) and gas-treated reduced silica aerogel composite (blue-green emitter)

**Photoluminescent Silica Aerogel Composites**

![Graph showing photoluminescence spectra of reduced silica and Si/SiO₂ nanocomposite](image)

**Optical Oxygen Sensor Based on Silica Aerogel**

Silica aerogels are ideal materials for active and passive components in optical sensors. Their visible transparency, high surface area, facile transport of gases through the material, thermal and chemical stability, and ability to be filled with additional active phases are the key properties that aerogels bring to sensor applications. The Microstructured Materials Group has recently discovered a new process that induces a permanent, visible photoluminescence in silica aerogels (see the section on aerogel composite materials). Shortly after these materials were prepared, it was observed that the intensity of the photoluminescence was indirectly proportional to the amount of gaseous oxygen within the aerogel. The quenching of photoluminescence by oxygen is a phenomenon that is frequently observed in many luminescent materials.
In simple terms, photoluminescence occurs when a material absorbs a photon of sufficient energy. The entity that absorbs the photon may be a discrete molecule, or a defect center in a solid-state material, and if often referred to a "carrier". When the photon has been absorbed, the carrier is moved into a high energy, "excited" state. The carrier will then relax back to its ground state after certain length of time. This "lifetime" of the excited state is usually on the order of nanoseconds to microseconds. The mechanism by which the carrier relaxes determines whether the photoluminescence is termed "fluorescence" or "photoluminescence". If an oxygen molecule collides with a carrier while it is in its excited state, the oxygen molecule will absorb the excess energy of the carrier and quench the photoluminescence. The oxygen molecule absorbs the energy and undergoes a triplet-to-singlet transition, while the carrier undergoes a non-radiative relaxation. The efficiency of the photoluminescence quenching is, therefore, determined by the number of collisions between the material containing the carrier, and oxygen molecules. As the collision frequency of gases is determined by the number of molecules present, the pressure (P), and temperature (T), at a given P and T, the quenching efficiency, and, consequently, the photoluminescence intensity will be determined by the concentration of oxygen in the atmosphere surrounding the material.

Oxygen sensors based on this principle have been extensively studied. The most common sensor elements studied are those based on an organic or inorganic compound suspended in a thin silicone membrane. Advantages of using an aerogel-based sensor element over these systems include a more rapid response time (due to rapid diffusion of gases through the aerogel pore network), and improved resistance to photo-bleaching (as the photoluminescence is caused by stable defect centers in SiO$_2$). The Microstructured Materials Group has built a prototype oxygen sensor based on this technology. The sensor is intended to perform as low cost, moderate sensitivity device operating most effectively in the concentration range of 0-30% oxygen. The sensor operates independently of the nature of the other gases present in the feed gas and of the
feed gas flow rate. The prototype sensor has been successfully operated over a temperature range of -25 to +85 degrees C (this range is based on other experimental limitations of the system, the actual usable range is larger). The highest sensitivity is observed at lower temperatures.

The prototype sensor uses a Hg-arc lamp for excitation (330 nm), and a Si photodiode for detection of the emission (500nm). The prototype design can be easily miniaturized, and a device can be designed with built-in pressure and temperature compensation.

This sensor is available for technology-transfer (see the Aerogel Technology Transfer Page)

The graphic below plots the measured photoluminescence intensity (irradiance) vs oxygen pressure (concentration gives a similar plot) at two temperatures using the prototype sensor.

**Silica Aerogels: Technology-Transfer Opportunities/Commercial Availability**

---

**Technology Transfer**

As an institution funded by the U. S. Government, Berkeley Lab actively seeks technology-transfer arrangements that will be mutually beneficial to Berkeley Lab and commercial entities. The following technologies developed by the Microstructured Materials Group are available for direct transfer:

- Methods of producing aerogel-based composite materials via chemical vapor infiltration methods.
- Methods of producing photoluminescent silica aerogel and other aerogels with altered chemical compositions.
- Optical oxygen sensor based on photoluminescent silica aerogel.

---

**Commercial Availability**

In 1994, the Microstructured Materials Group entered into a Cooperative Research And Development Agreement with Aerojet Corp. of Sacramento, California, USA and several other partners. This agreement was supported by an ARPA-TRP grant and focused on development of a pilot-scale aerogel production plant. At the completion of the project in 1995, Aerojet idled this facility and has no current plans to continue in this area.
Other potential U.S. sources of aerogels are Nanopore, in Albuquerque, N.M. which focuses on lower-cost granular aerogels and Aspen Systems, in Marlboro, MA which produces flexible aerogel-based insulation for cryogenic systems.

A new venture, Ocellus, in the San Francisco area, is currently selling small quantities of R-F, carbon and silica aerogels. They are available through MarkeTech International.

In Europe, Airglass in Lund, Sweden has made batch quantities of aerogels for many years, focusing on serving the needs of the high energy physics community.

Cabot Corp. will soon introduce commercial-scale quantities of granular, ambient-pressure dried aerogel products.

The TASSI company in Ohio is developing various oxide materials for uses such as air purification and catalysis.

---

**Note:** The Microstructured Materials Group has a limited, and ever decreasing, stock of silica aerogel monoliths of various sizes. In the past we have provided demonstration samples to interested parties. Regrettably, we can no longer continue this practice. We may provide samples to organizations interested in collaborative research with Berkeley Lab, or to assist in development of applications of aerogels with realistic commercial potential. We are sorry that we will not be able to help artists, designers, high schools, etc. unless there are extraordinary circumstances.

---

**A Partial Bibliography for Silica Aerogels**
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